

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/672,345	09/28/2000	David I. Poisner	10559/364001/P8247-2	7729	
20985 7	590 03/12/2003				
FISH & RICHARDSON, PC 4350 LA JOLLA VILLAGE DRIVE SUITE 500 SAN DIEGO, CA 92122		EXAMINER			
			KIM, HONG	KIM, HONG CHONG	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2186		
			DATE MAILED: 03/12/2003	DATE MAILED: 03/12/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ు•		4
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/672,345	POISNER, DAVID 1.
navious navious	Examiner	Art Unit
	Hong C Kim	2186
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a
PERIOD FOR RI	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offit timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 (c)	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set fortilater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 Clor of extension and the corresponding arm the shortened statutory period for replying later than three months after the mailing and the shortened statutory period for replying later than three months after the mailing and the shortened statutory period for replying later than three months after the mailing and the shortened statutory period for replying later than three months after the mailing and the shortened statutory period for replying the shortened statutory period statutory	ng date of the final rejection.  HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  FR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension ount of the fee. The appropriate extension or originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:	
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);
(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note to	pelow);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been cons	idered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-33</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	proved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	
10. Other:		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office



Application

, Continuation of 2. NOTE: Added limitations "not to the main memory and not to the dual-ported memory" raise new issues and require further consideration and search. It appears that the added limitations also rasie possible 112 issues .

HONG CHONG KIN PRIMARY EXAMINER